Civilian Review Board of Baltimore City Semi-Annual Statistical Report January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006

In the six month period from January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006, the Civilian Review Board reviewed 30 completed investigations submitted by the Internal Affairs Division of the Baltimore Police Department. The Board reviewed no completed investigations involving the Baltimore School Police, Sheriff's Office, or Housing Authority of Baltimore. The total number of allegations received by the Board for the period was 38. The total number of complaints reviewed was 30.

Of the 30 complaints reviewed for the period, none were actually filed during this period.

Of the <u>13</u> allegations of excessive force reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that <u>0</u> of the allegations were UNFOUNDED, <u>12</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> were EXONERATED and <u>1</u> was SUSTAINED. For the same <u>13</u> allegations of Excessive Force, the Civilian Review Board found <u>8</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> EXONERATED and <u>4</u> SUSTAINED. <u>1</u> resulted in a TIE-VOTE (no recommendation made).

Of the $\underline{22}$ allegations of abusive language reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that: $\underline{1}$ was UNFOUNDED, $\underline{20}$ were NOT SUSTAINED and $\underline{0}$ were SUSTAINED, and $\underline{1}$ was not investigated. For the same $\underline{22}$ allegations of abusive language, the Civilian Review Board found: $\underline{11}$ were NOT SUSTAINED, $\underline{0}$ were

Civilian Review Board of Baltimore City Semi-Annual Statistical Report July 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006

In the six month period from July 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006, the Civilian Review Board reviewed 37 completed investigations submitted by the Internal Affairs Division of the Baltimore Police Department. The Board reviewed no completed investigations from the Baltimore School Police, Sheriff's Office, or Housing authority of Baltimore. The total number of allegations reviewed by the Board for the period was 103.

Of the 37 complaints reviewed for the period, none was actually filed during this period.

Of the <u>47</u> allegations of excessive force reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that 1 of the allegations were UNFOUNDED, <u>43</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> was EXONERATED, and <u>3</u> were SUSTAINED. For the same <u>47</u> allegations of Excessive Force, the Civilian Review Board found <u>35</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> EXONERATED, and <u>12</u> were SUSTAINED.

Of the $\underline{47}$ allegations of Abusive Language reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that $\underline{3}$ were UNFOUNDED, $\underline{44}$ were NOT SUSTAINED, and $\underline{0}$ was SUSTAINED. For the same $\underline{47}$ allegations of Abusive Language the Civilian Review Board found $\underline{0}$ was EXONERATED, $\underline{35}$ were NOT SUSTAINED, and $\underline{15}$ were SUSTAINED.

Of the $\underline{9}$ allegations of Harassment reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that $\underline{0}$ of the allegations were UNFOUNDED, $\underline{9}$ were NOT SUSTAINED, and $\underline{0}$ were SUSTAINED. For the same $\underline{9}$ allegations of Harassment, the Civilian Review Board found $\underline{9}$ were NOT SUSTAINED, $\underline{0}$ EXONERATED, and $\underline{0}$ were SUSTAINED.